

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES September 27, 2022

The Board of Davis County Commissioners met for their regularly scheduled meeting at 10:00 a.m. on September 27, 2022, in room 303 of the Davis County Administration Building, 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah. Required legal notice of this meeting was given.

Present on the dais were: Commissioner Randy B. Elliott, Chair; Commissioner Bob J Stevenson; Curtis Koch, Clerk/Auditor; and Neal Geddes, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney.

Excused: Commissioner Lorene Kamalu was attending an Indigent Defense Commission strategic planning meeting which was scheduled months ago. She is currently serving as chair of that Commission.

All documents from this meeting are on file in the Davis County Clerk/Auditor's Office. The agenda for this meeting is incorporated into the minutes as item headers.

OPENING

The meeting convened at 10:00 a.m. and Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney Neal Geddes led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

The public was invited to make comments to the Commission.

No public comments were made.

PUBLIC HEARING

Clerk/Auditor

1. A Public Hearing for consideration of additional 2022 budget appropriation requests — Presented by Clerk Auditor Curtis Koch

Clerk/Auditor Curtis Koch presented a Resolution and Budget Opening, verbally listing each requested item.

See Attachment A, "September 27, 2022 Resolution & Budget Opening Items."

The Clerk/Auditor noted an error on the budget opening items and clarified that the \$14,700 CDBG software maintenance item should be reported separately in Fund 29.

2. Opening

Com. Stevenson made a motion to open the Public Hearing. Com. Elliott seconded. All voted aye

3. Public Comments

Commissioner Elliott invited members of the public to make comments about the additional budget appropriations requests.

Cleve Barkdull, a lifetime resident of Davis County, made a suggestion on the Resolution and Budget Items. He suggested decreasing the Merchandise Expenditures by 1/3 or 40% and to increase that amount when demand for the merchandise becomes apparent.

4. Closing

Com. Stevenson made a motion to close the Public Hearing. Com. Elliott seconded. All voted aye

PUBLIC HEARING

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

ACTION ITEM

Additional 2022 budget appropriation requests and adjustments to revenues

2022-552

Com. Stevenson made a motion to approve the additional 2022 budget appropriation requests. Com. Elliott seconded. All voted aye

**BUSINESS/
ACTION**

Animal Care

#2022-553

1. July 2022 Adoption Contracts — *Presented by Animal Care Director Ashleigh Young*

218 Adoptions in July 2022. 140 cats, 65 dogs, and 8 other species which were rabbits and chickens. The agreement period is 07/01/2022 to 07/31/2022.

#2022-554

2. Grant Application with Rachel Ray No-Kill Excellence Grants 2023 — *Presented by Animal Care Director Ashleigh Young*

The agreement period is 01/01/2023 to 12/31/2023. The receivable amount is \$28,020.

Com. Stevenson moved to approve Items 1-2. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

CED

#2022-555

3. Grant Award with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for the funding agreement for Davis County's 2022-2023 Community Development Block Grant — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 07/01/2022 to 06/30/2023. The receivable amount is \$848,371.

#2022-556

4. Memorandum of Understanding with the State of Utah, Utah Office of Tourism for the Co-op matching Grant for 2023, focusing on promoting, selling, and marketing to the Sports and Meeting sectors to bring people to Davis County for events — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 01/01/2023 to 12/31/2023. The receivable amount is \$135,375.

#2022-557

5. Memorandum of Understanding with the State of Utah, Utah Office of Tourism for the Co-op matching Grant for 2023, focusing on attracting leisure travelers to Davis County in the off-season — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 01/01/2023 to 12/31/2023. The receivable amount is \$100,000.

#2022-558

6. Summary list of 15 low-dollar contracts — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 08/08/2022 to 04/16/2023. The receivable amount is \$15,031.56.

#2022-559

7. Contract with Joseph Deutsch for the Scrapefest Car Show — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 05/19/2023 to 05/21/2023. The receivable amount is \$4,731.90.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

#2022-560

8. Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with the Redevelopment Agency of Centerville City for the Porter-Walton Community Reinvestment Area in Centerville — *Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen*

The agreement period is 09/20/2022 to 03/01/2039.

Com. Stevenson moved to approve Items 3-8. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Facilities

#2022-561

9. General Service Contract with Rocky Mountain Power for an electrical service feed in the lower storage yard for Safety and Security Lighting — *Presented by Facilities Director Lane Rose*

The agreement period is 09/20/2022 to 12/31/2022. The payable amount is \$4,740.73.

#2022-562

10. Standard Service Provider Contract with YESCO LLC for the installation and removal of the old monument sign on the State Street frontage of the Health Department campus in Clearfield — *Presented by Facilities Director Lane Rose*

Commissioner Elliott asked if the sign being replaced is old. Lane Rose explained that the Health Department wants to move away from the electronic sign as it has led to confusion about whether it is the State Health Department or the County Health Department. The sign is being replaced with a nice monument sign with the Davis County logo.

The agreement period is 09/27/2022 to 12/31/2022. The payable amount is \$37,882.

#2022-563

11. Standard Service Provider Contract with Olympus Precast, LLC for the installation of a precast concrete fence system to replace the existing vinyl fence — *Presented by Facilities Director Lane Rose*

It was noted that the fence will also help with noise in the surrounding community. The agreement period is 09/27/2022 to 12/31/2022. The payable amount is \$42,660.

Com. Stevenson moved to approve Items 9-11. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Commissioner Stevenson took the opportunity to explain the process of the weekly Davis County Commission Meetings to those in attendance as a number of citizens were attending the meeting for the Val Verda Annexation Public Hearing. It was explained that what was being presented and approved were contracts, either receivable for Davis County Services being utilized by outside entities or payable for Davis County utilizing outside entities' services. These contracts come before the Commission to pass, which can differ from city meetings.

Health

#2022-564

12. Real Estate Purchase Contract with J R - RE Investment Company, LLC to purchase the Property located at 160 South Main (Depot) Street, Clearfield, Utah, known as Tax ID No. 12-020-0034 — *Presented by Property Manager Tony Thompson*

It was explained that the purchase of this property is to expand the parking lot at the Davis County Health Department. The building will remain on the property and will be utilized by the County.

Secondary to this contract, a lease agreement will be coming before the Commission to allow mechanics in this building to finalize serving their long-established clients over the next few years. There will be an early provision in that lease stating it can be terminated at any time.

Tony advised that closing documents from the title company as well as an acceptance page to the Deed will need to be signed by the Commission.

Commissioner Elliott commented on the number of discussions in Work Session Meetings that have been held regarding this Real Estate Purchase Contract and stated it will be nice to have this property to improve the Health Department campus and Davis County services.

Tony added that they will be closing before the end of the month.

[The payable amount is \$450,000.]

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

#2021-512-A **13. Amendment with the Utah Department of Health and Human Services for COVID-19 Rapid Testing, changing the effective date to September 8, 2022** — *Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch*

The agreement period begins 09/08/2022

#2020-591-A **14. Amendment with Utah Transit Authority/Federal Transit Administration increasing the bus award funding amount and removing the funding for tablets** — *Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch*

The agreement period is 08/15/2022. The receivable amount is a net increase of \$4,800.

15. Purchase Order with Utah State University to provide assistance, education, and outreach for COVID-19 vaccines and boosters — *Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch*

This item was requested to be tabled until the next Commission meeting in order to get additional documentation that was requested by the Davis County Civil Attorneys.

#2022-079-A **16. Amendment with Legacy Preparatory Academy for the reimbursement of the allowable cost for High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) systems funded through the CARES Act** — *Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch*

The agreement period is 02/02/2022 to 12/15/2022. The payable amount is not to exceed \$9,000.

Com. Stevenson moved to approve Items 12, 13, 14, and 16 and table Item 15. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

HR

#2022-565 **17. Resolution with Utah Counties Indemnity Pool (UCIP) to appoint an official representative and an alternate representative to represent Davis County for the UCIP annual membership meeting on November 16, 2022** — *Presented by Human Resources Director Chris Bone*

The agreement period begins 09/27/2022

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

IS

#2019-71B **18. Renewal of the Manage Print Services Contract with Les Olson** — *Presented by Information Systems Director Mark Langston*

The agreement period is 10/04/2022 to 10/01/2025. [The payable amount is based on quarterly printed pages.]

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Library

#2022-566 **19. Summary List of donations of books and materials over \$50.00** — *Presented by Library Director Josh Johnson*

It was noted that the library regularly receives donations from the public and because these are all in-kind donations, no funds are attached.

The donation was made between 05/02/2022 and 08/23/2022.

#2022-567 **20. Summary list of low-dollar contracts** — *Presented by Library Director Josh Johnson*

It was explained that these contracts range from vendors for the library's databases to programs that are contracted out.

The agreement period begins 09/01/2022 to 08/31/2023. The payable amount is \$6,007.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

#2022-568

21. Funding Agreement with Salt Lake Astronomical Society for seven telescopes that will be donated to the Davis County Library — Presented by Library Deputy Director Ellen Peterson

This is for Library patrons to have the ability to check out these telescopes. The agreement period begins 09/21/2022. The receivable amount is \$2,660

Com. Stevenson moved to approve Items 19-21. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Sheriff

#2022-569

22. Release of Liability, Waiver of Rights, and Participation Agreement for the Secure Continuous Remote Alcohol Monitoring (SCRAM) program — Presented by Commissioner Randy B. Elliott

- Participant 1

The Release of Liability, Waiver of Rights, and Participation Agreement for each participant begins upon final signature and runs until the participant is no longer under court order to participate in the program. The receivable amount varies based on tests used and monitoring fees.

Com. Elliott moved to approve. Com. Stevenson seconded. All present voted aye.

**PUBLIC
HEARING**

1. Opening

Commissioner Elliott asked that the citizens in attendance for the public hearing regarding the annexation abide by the following rules in order to ensure equal opportunity for the participants: Each person wishing to make comment to the Commission will state their name and sign in to the record prior to providing comment. Each person providing comment will limit their comments to 3 minutes. In order to keep an accurate record of all comments, those in the audience will please remain silent. Please consider things that have already been shared by previous citizens before making your own statement. Commissioner Elliott invited those citizens who were in the overflow room to come upstairs and make their comments to the Commission.

Com. Stevenson made a motion to open the Public Hearing. Com. Elliott seconded. All voted aye

CED

2. A Public hearing to consider making a recommendation to the City of North Salt Lake to annex the Val Verda area — Presented by Property Manager Jeff Oyler

Davis County Planning Manager Jeff Oyler read the following:

This is a public hearing to determine whether Davis County will recommend to North Salt Lake City to annex the proposed annexation area. The following are excerpts from the General Plan which was adopted in 2006. Davis County acknowledges that the main purpose of municipalities is to provide urban services and a public voice in local affairs. The role of the County should be to coordinate and assist the municipalities in addressing issues of regional significance. Davis County has long held the stance that development should occur within city boundaries. The Val Verda area is specifically called out in the general plan. The area developed in the '50s and '60s when city boundaries were still some distance away from the area and the emphasis on encouraging development to go to cities was not as strong. Over the past 50 years, the majority of Val Verda has been annexed into Bountiful and North Salt Lake as residents have sought a closer alliance with those communities, and have sought to upgrade the urban services provided to them. Davis County does not anticipate substantial improvements or upgrades in its ability to provide urban services in the Val Verda area. As citizens of Val Verda seek improvements in urban services, they should petition for annexation into one of the adjacent communities. Davis County encourages the annexation of the remaining unincorporated areas into Bountiful or North Salt Lake.

Area B as depicted on the annexation map has been a part of North Salt Lake's annexation area plan since 2003.

Annexation of an island without a petition is found in state code section 10-2-418. There are three requirements under the law that need to be met:

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

1. The area to be annexed consists only of one or more unincorporated islands in a county of the second class.
 - a. *See Attachment B, Val Verda Annexation map*
 - b. Area to be annexed is in Davis County, which is a county of the second class (UCA 17-50-501(2)(b)) which is required by law.
 - c. The area has been determined to be an island and meets the requirements of an island.
2. The area to be annexed is located in the expansion area of a municipality.
 - a. *See Attachment B, Val Verda Annexation map*
 - b. In North Salt Lake City annexation plan since 2003
 - c. Bountiful City stated they have not annexed any additional area for over 20 years and they have no interest in expanding their current city boundaries. They also do not have this area set aside in their policy [annexation] plan.
3. The county legislative body in which the municipality is located provides notice to each property owner within the area to be annexed that the county legislative body will hold a public hearing, no less than 15 days after the day on which the county legislative body provides the notice, and may make a recommendation of annexation to the municipality whose expansion area includes the area to be annexed after the public hearing.
 - a. Notices were mailed to each property owner on September 8th, 2022.
 - b. Notices were posted in three public locations within the annexation area.

There are 4 formal findings outlined in the law for the County to make a determination:

1. The area to be annexed can be more efficiently served by the municipality than by the county:
 - Service proximity (zoning enforcement, police response times, snow removal, street maintenance, business licensing, building permitting/inspections, Justice Court services). These can be served better served in closer proximity with North Salt Lake than they are currently.
 - Service availability (Parks and Recreation, uniform trash service, access to increased high speed internet service). These are all things North Salt Lake provides that Davis County does not provide.
2. The area to be annexed is not likely to be naturally annexed by the municipality in the future as the result of urban development:
 - Proposed area to be annexed is primarily built out. Natural annexations happen when development occurs. If there is little development opportunity, there is no chance for annexation. If the annexation doesn't happen this way, it will never happen.
3. Annexation of the area is likely to facilitate the consolidation of overlapping functions of local government:
 - North Salt Lake Police and the Davis County Sheriff respond to calls in this area. Annexation would make North Salt Lake Police Department the primary responders, removing the overlapping responsibility.
 - Davis County is currently required by law to contact the City whenever a new development near their city boundary is proposed. It would be better for North Salt Lake Planning and Zoning to have that direct responsibility without having to confer with the County.
4. Annexation of the area is likely to result in an equitable distribution of community resources and obligations:
 - City services are more efficient than County services for this proposed annexation area. The county travels from Farmington or Fruit Heights (Public Works) to do everything in the annexation area. Most of the other unincorporated areas are in the north end of the county. It takes time and effort to get to the south end to plow roads, etc.
 - Remaining unincorporated areas will receive improved distribution of community resources
 - Proposed annexation area will receive improved distribution of community resources from NSL.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Jeff Oyler stated that he has read each and every public comment correspondence that has come in and has created a list of pros and cons of the annexation in an attempt to address some of the citizens' concerns. He read that list as follows:

Pros:

Animal Keeping rights

- Chickens/rabbits are permitted

Garbage services

- Uniform service
- Less expensive

Police response

- Faster response time
- Greater visibility (local presence)

High-speed internet access

- Availability

Parks and Recreation programs

- Parks
- Trails
- Spring and fall clean-up
- Youth programs

External Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) allowed [Dwellings separate from the house]

- Permitted for single-family dwellings
- No change for internal ADU. Jeff explained that basement apartments will not be lost because of the annexation. It was explained that basement apartments are Internal Accessory Dwelling Units and are allowed in any single-family dwelling per state law.

Local representation

- City Council & Planning Commission

Cons:

- Change of Address to the North Salt Lake grid
- Stormwater fee of \$7/Month
- Increase property taxes
- \$70/year on a \$400,000 (assessed value) single-family primary residence
- Val Verda residents do not have legal access to the Bountiful Sanitary Landfill. It was explained that only residents of Bountiful City have legal access to the landfill and that those residents residing in Val Verda do not.

Statistics:

- 358 homes
- 105 acres
- Approximately 1,000 residents
- 3.5 miles of roads

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Staff recommendation:

The County is not in the municipal service business. The general plan calls for the annexation of all unincorporated areas. This area is claimed by North Salt Lake in their annexation plan. North Salt Lake has expressed that now is the time to annex. Bountiful City has stated they have no interest in expanding their boundaries. The annexation requirements outlined in the state code have been met. For these reasons, staff recommends approval of the resolution recommending annexation of the Val Verda area to North Salt Lake.

Commissioner Stevenson asked what city ZIP code of the current addresses in the area of annexation are. Citizens in the audience answered the commissioner's question by stating the addresses currently are in Bountiful and have the Bountiful ZIP code.

JoEllen Ashworth, Davis County Citizen, clarified by stating that Sycamore Grove in North Salt Lake has the ZIP code 84010, which is a Bountiful zip code. There are homes on Orchard Drive in the unincorporated area of Davis County who have been told by the Post Office to use North Salt Lake as their address, which is not consistent.

3. Public Comments

Commissioner Elliot stated they received several emails as well as a petition that JoEllen Ashworth put together. These records will be kept in the Davis County Repository for one year. It was explained that there were two emails requested to be read into the record. Commissioner Elliott then read those two email comments:

"Dear Sirs,

It's come to our attention that the Unincorporated area of Bountiful is to possibly annexed into North Salt Lake. We have zero desire to be annexed to North Salt Lake. We would rather be annexed into Bountiful. The consensus of the people in this area of concern is the same as mine. We are hoping that our desires will be considered and that our voices would be heard. I promised my father who is dead that when this issue arose again that I would fight with all of the energy that I had because he never wanted his home to be consumed into North Salt Lake. We do not agree with decisions that North Salt Lake has previously made including homes being built that are now sliding down the hill and a golf course that is revenue drain and not a revenue stream. They demanded that Sycamore trees on 775 West be removed which was fought and won and trees are still there. My address is 3851 S 725 West and my name is Paul Freeman. Thank-you for your time."

"Hello,

My name is Jon Marsh and I'm a resident at 3879 S 775 W, Bountiful, UT 84010 and strongly support annexation for numerous reasons. We will get better and faster service from NSL PD and snow plows. Davis County Sheriff takes forever to serve this island of land disconnected from anything. Same for waiting for plows from Farmington.

We will have local representation from neighbors on city council, planning commission, etc.

We will receive fast and easy service from NSL City Hall for permits, records and representation rather than driving to Farmington. City zoning and land use is far more appropriate for our area than county, rural zoning.

I look forward to your strong support and recommendation of annexation in spite of the terrible, fear-based arguments that are against change because it's simply change you are likely receiving.

Thank you for your time and courage in making this annexation happen that many of us in this area have been anticipating and hoping for a long time.

Regards,

Jon Marsh"

Clerk/Auditor Curtis Koch explained there would be a three minute timer on the screen for public comment and staff would also have signage.

Joel Powell stated he is a native of the area. In 1940, his parents built on the same street he lives on now. The roots of the sycamore trees likely go back to the 1940s. Joel explained to the Commission that he has enjoyed the amenity of those trees all of his life and it is his hope that no matter which municipality comes their way, historical preservation and protection of those trees will be sought. He stated there are people who live in that area because of those trees and would be devastated by the "sin of nature" of cutting them down.

Joel noted everyone is upgrading technologically and mentioned Google Fiber and that Google Fiber is not being provided by Davis County.

He advised that the people in the annexation area have never been consulted or been able to express their feelings to Bountiful. Joel said the people would love the opportunity to go to Bountiful and explain that for decades, the people's heritage has been with their city.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Joel stated that the Bountiful dump was the place the citizens went to when he was growing up. Over time, the people were told they could not go there and people lawlessly or naively continued to do so. Joel stated that the people would like to be given the right to go to the Bountiful dump.

Joel stated the people do not want to change their address as there is a lot of passion behind their identities. He stated his identity has been tied up in Bountiful his whole life, and now it hurts to say he no longer lives in Bountiful.

In regards to the email read from Mr. Marsh, Joel stated the “many” spoken by Mr. Marsh is not equivalent to the many who are opposed to having their address changed and being moved into the development hungry North Salt Lake.

Caryn Larrinaga stated she is a resident of the proposed annexation area. In addition to the many concerns about North Salt Lake City she is sure the Commission received through the public comment emails, Caryn expressed her deep concern about the very real and undue burden that any address changes will place on her family and many other households in the area. Without choosing to move she explained, they will be forced to fill out multiple forms and update their addresses with multiple governmental agencies, businesses, creditors, and other record keepers. Address changes can result in lost mail, including bills, resulting in collection notices. Caryn stated that the simple act of changing your address can negatively impact credit scores, and lenders won't care that these people did not actually physically move, as they will see a different address down to a different city. The change in the city will impact property values and taxes. In short, these involuntary changes will have a negative effect on everyone in the community. As residents of the affected area, Caryn stated, it is her belief that their voices should count above any others.

Frank Coon stated that he is here against the proposed annexation. He stated that he is the Trustee and Trust for a large portion of the businesses along Highway 89. Frank continued by stating that being in business for yourself is not easy and is a tough road. If these properties are annexed into North Salt Lake, many businesses, two of which are his, will have to change all of those businesses' advertising, internet advertising, logos, letterheads, and business cards. He stated it is his belief that this will impede their ability to conduct business fairly. Telling people by the wave of a hand and a signing of a pen that people must change their addresses is unconscionable.

Frank stated that he had Google Fiber in his home in Taylorsville for 6 months and had it taken out as it is more expensive and not that much better.

Frank stated that his main concern - and what he believes should be the main concern of the Davis County body is how this annexation will affect businesses, and if in any manner it impedes businesses' ability to conduct business fairly, equitably, and with integrity, this project should be tabled.

Steve Broderick stated he is a long-time resident of Davis County and has a couple of properties that will be affected by the annexation. Steve stated he has lived in North Salt Lake for a period of years and said he cannot say enough bad things about North Salt Lake and would not like to be a part of their city. He stated that if Bountiful truly does not have any desire to incorporate this area, he would like the chance to plead with them. Steve stated he believes he is in a democracy and stated that those people who have a vested interest and who have millions of dollars tied up in these properties should have the vote on this. He asked that the issue be put to a vote and the majority speak.

Shauna Goldso stated that she is concerned about how North Salt Lake will take care of their roads. She stated that 40th South is part of both Bountiful and North Salt Lake. She stated that Davis County came in and fixed the roads around their home and offered to help North Salt Lake pave a 200 foot area. With a \$4 million dollar budget for a park, that 200 feet would not be a lot for North Salt Lake City to take care of. She expressed concern over if the roads will be plowed in the winter-time in a decent manner. She stated she worries about the upkeep of the roads in this area that Davis County cares about.

Nevin Pratt stated that he has been a resident of this area since 1995 and grew up in that area as well. Nevin suggested, in the very least, that the Commission table this annexation until the economy gets better. He stated this will hurt a lot of people; the timing is bad.

Leslie Green echoed the concern over North Salt Lake not maintaining roads. Leslie explained that on the 200 feet of road on the bottom of the street that connects to the area she lives on, she has blown a tire due to how poorly maintained the road is. She stated the people of this area have not received the same care from North Salt Lake as they have received from Davis County.

Leslie said that North Salt Lake Police Department does not service the area and stated it has been her experience that North Salt Lake Police will advise that the area is Davis County Sheriff's jurisdiction and they would have to wait for them. She stated she is ok waiting for the Davis County Sheriff's Office because in the end, she is being cared for by Davis County.

Commissioner Stevenson asked that the annexation map be brought up on the screen in order to locate and view the road that was being discussed.

Attorney Neal Geddes announced that he was being excused due to a hearing at the Health Department. He advised that Senior Civil Council Mike Kendall will sit in during his absence.

JoEllen Ashworth stated that she would speak for Lorene Kamalu. She stated that Lorene came to her house one day at 825 West off 4100 South. JoEllen stated that she told Commissioner Kamalu where to locate this damaged stretch of road and that she would immediately be able to tell which street was paved by Davis County and which was paved by North Salt Lake. JoEllen said within 5 minutes, Lorene called her and stated she saw the difference.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Thomas Smith stated he is also a resident of the area. Thomas referenced what Jeff Oyler had stated, that the area is mostly built out and that Davis County has to propose projects in that area, such as zoning. Thomas pointed out that if the area is already built out, then the County does not have to put any effort into proposing projects. Thomas advised that he is satisfied with the services Davis County provides, adding that the snowplows have never been late.

Randy Mansfield advised that he and his family have lived on 800 West in the unincorporated area of Davis County since 1976. He stated that North Salt Lake tried to do this a number of years ago and at the time, the plan was to take over some of those existing homes in that area. At the time, North Salt Lake discovered he had a reverse mortgage on his home and they did not do anything further. Randy expressed his suspicion of North Salt Lake and its motives to annex.

Randy stated he does not want to lose his home or for his neighbors to lose their homes. He also stated that he does not want to pay higher taxes. He stated he does not trust North Salt Lake not to raise their taxes more than they have said they were going to do.

Randy said like most of the people in attendance, he has enjoyed the services Davis County provides. He said he would like to see more patrol deputies in the area and said he worked as a policeman for 38 years. Randy asked that this issue be tabled in light of the financial circumstances these days.

The address change issue is a very big thing, there is no end to problems and troubles in changing your address, and added that he is unsure the Post Office could keep up with it.

Lane Merritt stated he is a resident on 3800 South and has lived there for 8 years. Lane stated he has been happy with every Davis County service he has received. He stated that because of the main street this area is on, they see snowplows twice as often going back and forth to Orchard Drive.

Lane stated one of the questions he has about this annexation is if there will be zoning issues as they become North Salt Lake. He stated that he has noticed that North Salt Lake is building up everywhere, knocking down longtime established businesses on Highway 89 and putting in three-story apartments with businesses on the bottom. He expressed his concern about this possibly happening on 3800 South. He said the apartments may be a little cheaper but it makes it harder for the younger generations to buy a home. He continued by stating that if they take out the older homes built in the '40s and '50s and put in apartments, the only homes left available to buy are the ones on the hill, which are way out of his price range.

Lane also commented on the pain that changing their addresses will be.

Katherine Baird stated she is a resident in Bountiful on 4000 South and she and her husband moved there in February. She advised they moved there specifically because they wanted to live in Bountiful. She noted they have been very satisfied with the services they have received from Davis County. Katherine stated she has agreed with everything that has been mentioned in the meeting so far, particularly involving businesses. She advised that she is a business owner and it benefits her greatly that her business is considered to be in Bountiful. The changing of addresses and business information that would be required with this annexation is a big deal and does end up affecting the entire community.

Katherine stated that she has heard from her neighbors that the decision to annex has already been decided and if that is true, she finds that very disturbing that hearings like this were not held prior to the decision being made, as, ultimately, the community there has to be able to speak and say their piece. She stated she is glad that they are able to do that now, but that is something that should have been done prior to the decision being made, if it was made. She stated much more discussion needs to be held and that this annexation should be tabled. She advised this is not the best time to do this given the state of the economy. She added that she did not receive anything in the mail regarding this annexation and had only received a flyer from neighbors encouraging them to attend the hearing today.

Denise Pratt stated she has lived in this area for 27 years, and when she first moved here, she wanted to live in Bountiful. She stated she is so glad to have lived in the unincorporated area, as they have several advantages. Denise advised she has done research on the animal issue in Davis County, and it is her understanding that on a ½ acre you get 50 animal points, so any property with a ½ acre or more can have up to 25 chickens.

Denise told the Commissioners that this annexation will change everything. She also agreed that she has had no problems with the Davis County services in the 27 years she has been there and would like it to stay as is.

Valerie Francis stated she has been a resident of the unincorporated area for 7 years. She stated that she believes the biggest concern for the residents is the address change and advised she has questions regarding that. Valerie advised that she and her husband own their home outright and want to know what will happen to their Property Deed and if that will be affected at all. She stated they have a Trust, and she wanted to know if anything will have to change in their Trust. She asked the Commission if the residents will be compensated for any legal advice that they need to seek due to this address change, let alone compensation for the personal time involved in making all these changes. Valerie stated it is a choice to move but even then there are complications with lost mail due to the address change. She asked if Google maps would have to reflect the changes to the addresses and if delivery services would subsequently be able to locate her house. She stated she has a lot of questions and does not know if North Salt Lake has researched this or if they would provide answers.

Commissioner Stevenson asked if the city would be the only thing changing in regards to the addresses or if

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

the house numbers and street numbers would be changing as well.

Valerie Francis answered that once incorporated they would be getting totally different numbers in addition to a different zip code.

Cleve Barkdull stated that he has had to call the police 3 times in the 30 years he has lived in his house and North Salt Lake has never shown up. He stated he has had to wait two hours for Davis County.

Jon Marsh thanked the Commission for reading his email into the record. Jon stated that Davis County Sheriff's Office takes forever to come to their houses, it's horrible. North Salt Lake Police is right there. Jon noted that apparently there has been a lot of illegal activity, mostly chickens, in the area and advised that when you are annexed into a city, you are grandfathered in and get a 'get out a jail free' card, so whether you have an illegal building on your property that is in violation of R1 zoning and are annexed into an R17 zone in North Salt Lake, all sins are forgiven. He added that grandfathering is a wonderful principle. Jon also stated that when he has a problem with North Salt Lake, he can go to his neighbor and yell at him and does not have to drive to Farmington to deal with the Commissioners. He stated that currently, those people in the annexation area do not have local representation. Jon then addressed the audience stating they do not live in Bountiful. They do not get Bountiful services, and they do not use Bountiful power.

Chris Moss stated he was born and raised in Bountiful and bought his property because it was unincorporated, as he did not want that layer of Government and that layer of taxes.

Chris stated that the annexation area currently has two companies competing for their garbage removal which keeps their prices down.

Chris stated North Salt Lake does not represent citizens, they represent the developers. He continued by stating that the citizens in the annexation area do not want their community developed. He stated their community takes care of one another and has never had a problem, whatsoever.

Chris addressed the Commission, stating that they are the citizens' representatives and the citizens want the Commission to listen to what they are saying. He added that he hopes that this is not a sham meeting. Chris finished by stating the people of the area do not want this annexation and want things to stay the way they are.

Jim Bernage mentioned hearing at the beginning of the meeting that Bountiful did not want them. He suggested that all of the citizens go to Bountiful City to find out why. He stated that being annexed to Bountiful would be a lot more palatable for all the citizens and a lot of problems would be solved if Bountiful stepped up to the plate if the annexation had to happen. Jim said if he has to move, he wants to move north and not south.

Shelly McDonald stated she lives on 3800 South and was born and raised in the house she lives in. Shelly stated she remembers as a young child, her parents fought many times in order to not be incorporated into North Salt Lake. She stated that the area has always been a happy and healthy little community that takes care of each other. The residents do not want this annexation, they never have, and she does not think they ever will.

Commissioner Elliott reminded the attendees about the rule of repetition in regard to the comments being made.

JoEllen Ashworth stated that the problem is they are unincorporated and they do not want to be annexed to North Salt Lake. North Salt Lake is a bad city and it started out as a bad city. It was not started as a residential city, it was started as a city of businesses west of the highway and by Redwood Road. The first thing they did as a city was to create a street numbering system that was incompatible with the surrounding area. North Salt Lake is the Midvale of Davis County as Midvale is the only city in the Salt Lake Valley that does not share the same numbering system.

JoEllen then read a quote from a friend of hers who lives in North Salt Lake:

"From our 18 years of experience living on the border of North Salt Lake and Bountiful, we wish we were a part of Bountiful and not North Salt Lake. We are in one of the most expensive cities in our state, about 33% higher than Bountiful, and get less for it."

JoEllen stated she received a phone call from a neighbor last night and they asked her if the value of their house would decrease if they had North Salt Lake addresses. Another neighbor of hers said they begged North Salt Lake not to build the apartment building across from them on Odell Lane. JoEllen stated that North Salt Lake does not care about what its citizens want. All it cares about is the developers and has never met a developer it did not like. They are known as land pirates. JoEllen added that citizens know when someone dies in their area because right after that, construction starts and it is known that North Salt Lake has gone to the heirs and made them an offer they couldn't refuse.

JoEllen stated that her elderly neighbor called her to get the link to watch today's Public Hearing and expressed to JoEllen "We have fought this three or four times in the past, I am so sick of it, I could die."

JoEllen stated North Salt Lake has a bad reputation even outside of Davis County and stated that a woman who recently moved to her neighborhood from Salt Lake City was asked by a friend where she lived in Davis County, and when she replied between Bountiful and North Salt Lake, the friend wrinkled her nose and replied pejoratively "Oh. You live in North Salt Lake?"

In conclusion, JoEllen stated that if an election was held with North Salt Lake Citizens she would be willing to bet that they would vote to be a part of anything else other than North Salt Lake.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

JoEllen told the Commission that there are two solutions. One is for The Commission to get the area annexed into Bountiful, which would be hard work and take time; however, the citizens of Davis County pay the Commissioners big bucks to do hard work. JoEllen stated that the other choice the Commission has is to leave the people in this area alone. It's not what the people want. The people are the Davis County Commissioner's employers, not the Commissioner's employees.

Michelle Fairbanks stated she moved to Bountiful almost 20 years ago. She stated she has seen all the townhomes and apartments going up in North Salt Lake, which is ridiculous, especially by the gravel pit. As a business owner who services the entire Wasatch Front by going to homes to help with flood damage, her employees cannot locate homes in North Salt Lake but can find homes in Bountiful and the unincorporated areas. She stated she bets this is the same for emergency services.

Michelle brought up how Amazon is asking people to put their addresses on their homes, which shows what a big deal it is when businesses can't find the homes.

Michelle addressed the Commission and stated that as her representatives, she hopes they listen to the people's voices, their emotions, and the life-changing actions this will take. Michelle stated that as their representatives, the Commission has to listen to us.

Michelle explained that as a small business owner, she works for a small city and loves doing work for that city; however, she would not do work for the city of North Salt Lake even if someone tied her hands behind her back.

Elaine Christensen stated she lives on 800 West. She stated that any time there is an open property, North Salt Lake tears the house down and shoves multi-family living in any time they can, resulting in crime going up in the area.

Elaine mentioned the quick response and service she has received from the Davis County Sheriffs. She said they are experiencing tagging now, and strange and sketchy people walking through the neighborhood and moving in around them.

Tina Peterson stated she lives on the aforementioned Sycamore Avenue and runs a business out of her home; her concerns are similar to those already mentioned. Tina stated that she believes there is some misrepresentation going on that needs to be corrected.

Tina advised that she spoke with the Bountiful City Manager yesterday, and he stated there is nothing in his mind or on Bountiful City's Agenda on not wanting the unincorporated area. She said this annexation is about policies that were set by people well previous to the ones currently elected.

In 2003, those people in Bountiful set a policy that they were not going to annex anything more. They decided that they would not comply with a new law that was set by the state back then which required any city that wanted to annex to write an annexation plan. Tina noted that the North Salt Lake Annexation plan has been mentioned a few times in this meeting and argued that just because Bountiful did not write up an annexation plan or report in 2003 does not mean they do not want this unincorporated area; it just means that this was not on their agenda in 2003. She continued stating that it does not mean Bountiful cannot be asked now to write up an annexation plan. She went on to explain that she doesn't know if Bountiful will want to spend the money and research on how to get services to that area allotted, but said the citizens should have a chance to consult Bountiful to see if they would be willing to go to the effort.

Tina advised that she has been involved in passing out notices for the politics in Bountiful City and is acutely aware of how Bountiful City Council functions and knows that with enough voices their city council is very reasonable about being willing to do research. Tina stated they need the time to be able to ask Bountiful to change their 2003 policy.

Richard Miller addressed the Commission by stating he is concerned that they have already made a decision and requested that each of the Commissioners go on the record stating that this has not been decided and grant the citizens time to still work on it and approach Bountiful.

Commissioner Stevenson advised that he probably has more experience than anyone in the room with public hearings given the public offices he has served over the years. He stated that years ago he began coming into these matters with an open mind and usually learns a lot about the city or county from its people. Commissioner Stevenson stated that he tries to remain very neutral while learning and understanding.

Richard Miller asked Commissioner Stevenson if this matter has been decided.

Commissioner Stevenson answered by stating no.

Commissioner Elliott advised that in order to come to their opinions and to make decisions, the Commission will hold meetings and meet with staff. He advised they have also spoken to North Salt Lake about how this matter will work in their general plans. He advised that he has not spoken to Bountiful but heard from staff that they are unwilling to cooperate. Commissioner Elliott went on to explain that as a County, Davis County is not in the business of municipalities and that is why Davis County is now attempting to get rid of the islands in the County. He noted the residents in the area have had the luxury of being unincorporated for the last 30-plus years. He noted the hearsay of a Commissioner way back when who somehow finagled this plan to cut this neighborhood out of the annexation plan. He explained that the Commission is trying to do cleanup work on what should have been done years ago. It was explained that annexation happens throughout the community. When people develop they go to the city because the county is not in the municipality business.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Commissioner Elliott joked that he wishes Davis County Public Works hadn't plowed the unincorporated area's streets so well, but they did. He advised that the Commission sits here and takes all the information and makes a decision based on the information.

Richard Miller stated he wanted to add his voice and ask that the Commission table this issue, adding that everyone, aside from Jon Marsh, is against this annexation.

Michelle Fairbanks posed the question that if the Commission is not in the business of municipalities and is rid of County properties, then what is the County for.

Commissioner Elliott answered by stating the County still has the Health Department, Animal Care, and Libraries and invited Michelle to speak to him after the meeting. He stated there is also a pamphlet he could give her that shows everything Davis County does.

Katie Bingham stated she lives on 3600 South. Katie made the suggestion that if these annexations create less for Davis County to do then maybe Davis County will need fewer Commissioners.

Katie said that she believes the intent of this annexation, based on the description, is efficiency and improvement. Katie stated that those two words could be addressed in a little more depth. She asked what kind of efficiencies and improvements are being talked about. She stated she has heard that North Salt Lake is closer and can respond faster; however, in her experience and her neighbor's experiences, there is no efficiency or improvement in this for the residents. Efficiency and improvements are sounding like covers in order to avoid discussing the nitty-gritty details of what Davis County gains by not having to attend to this area and what North Salt Lake gains in taxes as well as what North Salt Lake's intentions are with that area. Katie said that improvements and efficiency are very nebulous terms and stated that she would like to know the specific details. She noted that even with the distance, the Sheriff's services are satisfactory. She ended by stating that in the discussion thus far, it does not sound like the projected improvement and efficiency will be part of the residents' experience.

Cleve Barkdull asked the Commissioners to please not believe what Davis County staff has told them or what North Salt Lake has told them. Cleve stated that years ago, his house was targeted by North Salt Lake when they wanted to put in sidewalks. The State Ombudsman had to come and represent the residents residing up Orchard Drive because North Salt Lake had the attitude of "we are going to do what we want" or "we are just going to take the land from you." He advised that the residents were told that because the sidewalks were North Salt Lake's property, the city would take care of them; however, North Salt Lake has never scraped the ice off of the sidewalks in the middle of winter. He stated his yard is dead and has been for years because the snowplows come down Orchard Drive at 55mph all the way down into his garage, and he has been told by North Salt Lake Officers that he cannot move all that snow back onto the street or even the curb. Cleve asserted that North Salt Lake has never done what they have said they were going to do. Cleve stated that he was supposed to get a new lawn in addition to his new driveway and hasn't. Cleve addressed Commissioner Stevenson by stating he has dealt with him many times over the years and asked that the Commissioners do their research and table this item.

Commissioner Stevenson addressed Civil Attorney Mike Kendall and asked if the annexation decision would rest with North Salt Lake after the action that the Davis County Commission may or may not take.

Mike Kendall stated that if the question is if the Commission takes action and approves the annexation, that will ensure that the annexation will occur, then the answer to that is no. Under the law, the County Commission only has the authority to move forward or not with the proposed resolution. He clarified that The Davis County Commission is only making a recommendation to the city that the area should be annexed. This will still require North Salt Lake to go through their entire process to comply with the provisions of the law in order for the annexation to actually take place.

Commissioner Stevenson asked if North Salt Lake would have to hold a public hearing similar to what is happening today.

Mike Kendall advised that he has not looked into that process in-depth but is confident it does require a public hearing in terms of what would be necessary to move forward. The rest of the parameters for annexation would be for North Salt Lake and their legal team to figure out.

Commissioner Stevenson asked if this was on North Salt Lake's agenda anytime soon.

A citizen in the audience answered by stating North Salt Lake has a hearing scheduled for October 5, 2022, and noted that hearing was announced before the Davis County Commission's public hearing.

Cleve Barkdull stated that if the decision to annex hasn't already been made with the Davis County Commission, it has been made with North Salt Lake.

North Salt Lake City Manager Ken Leetham advised that there is a requirement for North Salt Lake to conduct a public hearing, much like today's meeting, before it is allowed to act and noted that no decision has been made on this annexation. On October 5th, 2022 there is a scheduled informal public information meeting at Adelaide Elementary School from 6:30 to 8:30 where folks can meet with the city staff and elected officials and hopefully get some of their questions answered.

Commissioner Stevenson addressed Mike Kendall and asked if the County supports this Resolution and it goes to North Salt Lake and is turned down, whether the annexation area in question would come back to the County resulting in no action or annexation.

Mike Kendall stated that the way he understands the law is that the County Commission has the ability to make the recommendation to the city. The city does not have to act on that recommendation.

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

An attendee asked if North Salt Lake needed the recommendation to annex.

Mike Kendall answered in the affirmative and said that in this circumstance, they would need the recommendation in order to move forward under the law.

Cleve Barkdull asked what would happen if they did not get the recommendation.

Mike Kendall stated that North Salt Lake would not be able to proceed under certain particular factions of the law. Mike continued by stating there are many ways to annex property under the law, and this is one of several that the law permits in order for a possible annexation to occur, he reiterated that one of those avenues is for the County to formally make a recommendation to a city to move forward but it is still within the city's prerogative to either act on that recommendation or not.

4. Closing

Com. Stevenson made a motion to close the Public Hearing. Com. Elliott seconded. All voted aye

Commissioner Elliott reiterated with Jeff Oyler that conversations have been had with Bountiful City.

Jeff Oyler stated that he knew the residents of Val Verda would rather be annexed to Bountiful City and because of this, he spoke to the Bountiful City Manager, Gary Hill, and asked him what he thought of annexing this area into Bountiful. Jeff noted that Davis County does not care if the area is annexed to Bountiful or North Salt Lake. Jeff stated that Gary Hill was very specific when he stated it has been well over 20 years since Bountiful City annexed any new area into their city and they do not have this area in their annexation plan, therefore, they have no authority to consider an annexation of this area at the present time. It was explained that North Salt Lake has done that, and in order for Bountiful to annex this area, there are legal things that would have to be done; Bountiful City is not in the position legally to annex the properties at this time.

Several attendees in the audience asked that they be given more time.

Commissioner Stevenson stated Bountiful City runs Bountiful Power and confirmed with the attendees that this annexation area is with Rocky Mountain Power. Commissioner Stevenson added that he knows Kaysville is with Kaysville Power and is strongly against anything in their city not being a part of Kaysville Power and asked Jeff if Bountiful feels the same in regards to their power company and services.

Jeff Oyler stated he does not know and they would have to look into that issue.

Commissioner Stevenson stated he asked that question because sometimes that is the reason cities do not want to expand. Commissioner Stevenson then asked Jeff Oyler to read the resolution.

Jeff Oyler read the Resolution.

For ease of use, the Resolution has been attached to the minutes.

(See Attachment C: "Resolution recommending annexation of the Val Verda area to the City of North Salt Lake")

Commissioner Stevenson explained that if the resolution is passed by the Commission, the resolution and recommendation will be sent to North Salt Lake, and that is where the decision ultimately will be made. He further explained to the citizens in the audience that they will have the opportunity to meet with North Salt Lake about their concerns. It was explained that if the resolution does not pass, or it is a split vote, it is basically a no-no land.

Commissioner Stevenson stated that he respects that he represents the people, but he also respects that he represents Davis County and noted that is what the people elected him for. He stated he has to look at what the general plan of Davis County is and, realistically, the plan of almost every county that exists is that as the county develops, they develop into cities and as time goes on, the cities incorporate the land that was originally there. Commissioner Stevenson explained that in the 1800s, when Davis County was first established, the first two cities incorporated were Bountiful and Kaysville. As time has gone on, other cities in the county have developed. He stated a lot of people don't realize that Layton City is actually made up of three different cities. One was called Laytona which was annexed into Layton City in the 1960s. In the late 1970s/early '80s, East Layton was annexed into Layton City and the unincorporated area in West Layton has almost all been annexed into Layton City. It was noted that there are annexation plans throughout Davis County.

Commissioner Stevenson addressed a comment that was made earlier in the meeting where it was said that maybe the County should be made smaller and they should have a smaller government in a sense. He stated that is what the Commission is already trying to do. He advised that they have moved the paramedics out of the Sheriff's Department and into the city fire departments. He also stated the County is trying to condense the four current 911 centers throughout Davis County into one county-wide center. He went on to explain that Davis County is a very big organization with over 1,000 full-time employees and the County has a \$260 million dollar budget. He stated part of what the Commissioners do is continue to run this County as efficiently as possible. The reason annexations are wanted is due to the cities being able to run those areas better than the county does. The cities have the ability to serve better because the County is so spread out. It was advised that there are 26 roads Davis County currently has to care for and those roads are spread across the entire county. The Sheriff's Department contracts with West Point, Fruit Heights, South Weber and they are also in charge of all the unincorporated areas, which sends the Sheriff's Department all across

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

this county and that is not the most efficient way.

Commissioner Stevenson noted the comment that was made earlier regarding North Salt Lake Police Department not responding to the annexation area and stating it is not North Salt Lake's jurisdiction. It was clarified that the area is the Sheriff's Department's responsibility and North Salt Lake was exactly right in stating that. North Salt Lake Police as well as Bountiful Police will back up the Sheriff's Office if there is a problem, but in the unincorporated area, which Val Verda is, it is the Sheriff's responsibility. Previously, the County had paramedics who functioned as both Medical and Police patrolling the streets. Now that the paramedics are part of the fire departments, that manpower is no longer available. At one time, Davis County had 26 paramedics and vehicles. With those gone, it has cut the Sheriff's services down. Commissioner Stevenson reiterated that the Davis County General Plan is to be more efficient for its citizens. He sympathized with the pain of changing addresses as he has done it many times before. He noted that he decided to move to a PO Box in order to have his address remain consistent. He suggested that the residents talk to North Salt Lake regarding the address change issue if the resolution passes. Commissioner Stevenson then stated it is his opinion that Davis County should pass the resolution and pass it to North Salt Lake as it meets the general plan that Davis County has set forth.

Commissioner Elliott asked North Salt Lake's City Manager Ken Leetham if North Salt Lake could work with the residents on the address changes as it sounds like this is one of the biggest arguments.

Ken Leetham advised that he has spoken to both the North Salt Lake Fire Chief and the Police Chief and the desire is to do the safest thing for the residents of the entire city. He continued by stating that if there is a way to annex without changing addresses, North Salt Lake will explore that.

Ken explained that as it is today in this annexation area, police calls are going to a different dispatch service than fire calls are. He stated that after the annexation, these calls would go through one dispatch center and North Salt Lake will work hard to make sure this is done as safely as possible.

Ken stated that North Salt Lake is not a heavy-handed city and is not trying to change addresses just because the city is paper pushers, the reason for address changes is safety and response times of police, fire and ambulances.

Commissioner Stevenson suggested to Ken that if the resolution passes, North Salt Lake should have the answer to that address question prior to the public hearing they have planned for the residents.

Ken Leetham thanked the Commissioner for that suggestion.

Commissioner Elliott stated that the Davis County Commission is trying to clean up what should have been cleaned up 20-40 years ago and explained that they are working to clean up those unincorporated pockets throughout the County. He noted this annexation should have been done when Dannie McConkie was Commissioner.

PUBLIC HEARING ACTION ITEM

Consideration of the Resolution recommending annexation of the Val Verda area to the City of North Salt Lake

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

CONSENT ITEMS

Indigent Hardship Abatement Register

Indigent Hardship Abatement Register

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Commission Meeting Minute

Meeting Minutes

Meeting Minutes for September 6, 2022 and September 13, 2022, were presented for approval.

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Commissioner Stevenson moved to recess to the Board of Equalization. Commissioner Elliott seconded the motion. All voted Aye

COMMISSIONERS' MINUTES – DAVIS COUNTY

Property Tax Register

Property Tax Register matters were presented by Curtis Koch, Davis County Clerk/Auditor, as follows:

Auditor Adjustments

Appeals

- Various approved appeals, totaling \$2,114,198
- Hearing findings report with various recommendations for approval, totaling \$323,000

Abatements

- Various late abatements, totaling \$15,341.68

Corrections

- Six Veteran Exemption Tax Abatements for 2021

Assessor Adjustments

- Personal Property Penalty Waiver for one business that did not qualify, recommended to denial

Corrections

- Various corrections totaling \$451,466

Com. Stevenson moved to approve. Com. Elliott seconded. All present voted aye.

Commissioner Stevenson moved to reconvene Commission Meeting. Commissioner Elliott seconded the motion. All voted Aye.

COMMISSION COMMENTS

Commissioner Elliott invited other comments from the dais.

No comments were made.

MEETING ADJOURNED

Commission meeting was adjourned at 12:04

All publicly distributed materials associated with this meeting are noted as follows:

- A. September 27, 2022 Resolution & Budget Opening Items
- B. Val Verda Annexation Map
- C. Resolution recommending annexation of the Val Verda area to the City of North Salt Lake

Minutes prepared by:
Rian-Paige Santoro
Deputy Clerk/Auditor

Minutes approved on: 10/25/2022

/s/ Curtis Koch
Curtis Koch
Clerk/Auditor

/s/ Randy B. Elliott
Randy B. Elliott
Commission Chair